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Attorney for Appellant

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION [NUMBER]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,**Plaintiff and Respondent, **v.****[CLIENT NAME],**Defendant and Appellant. | **A######**([County] CountySuperior Court No. ##########)  |

**APPLICATION TO STRIKE APPELLANT’S BRIEF FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *PEOPLE V. WENDE* (1979) 25 cal.3d 436 AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE APPELLANT’S OPENING BRIEF.**

To the Honorable Presiding Justice and Associate Justices of the California Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division [Number]:

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rules 8.360(a) and 8.200(a)(4),[[1]](#footnote-1) appellant [Client Name], through counsel, requests leave to file the attached opening brief and to strike the brief that was filed in accordance with procedures outlined in *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, on [Date].

***Briefly explain reasons for filing the application. For example:***

[Appellate counsel makes this application based on the passage of new legislation that applies retroactively to the case, as further set forth in the attached Declaration of Counsel.]

Appellant seeks leave to file the attached opening brief in order to fully present and preserve all meritorious issues impacting appellant’s rights to a full and fair hearing and presentation of a defense under the State and Federal Constitutions (art. I, § 15; U.S. Const., 6th & 14th Amends). (*In re Banks* (1971) 4 Cal.3d 337; *In re Smith* (1970) 3 Cal.3d 192 [reversal for ineffective assistance of appellate counsel].) Accordingly, appellant requests leave to file a substantive opening brief and for the Court to strike the *Wende* brief.

This application is based on appellant’s state and federal constitutional rights to due process and effective assistance of counsel on appeal and on the attached declaration of counsel.

Dated: [Month Day, Year] Respectfully submitted,

 /s/ Attorney Name

 [ATTORNEY NAME]

 Attorney for Appellant

DECLARATION OF [ATTORNEY’S NAME]

1. On [Date], I was appointed to represent appellant [Client Name] on appeal.
2. On [Date], appellant was found guilty of one felony violation of mayhem (Pen. Code, § 203) and one felony violation of assault with a deadly weapon (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(1). Appellant was sentenced to four years in state prison, the middle term for mayhem. The trial court stayed punishment on the assault conviction pursuant to Penal Code section 654. (RT 3006; CT 126-127, 131.)
3. On [Date], I filed appellant’s opening brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende* brief) in which I informed the Court I had found no meritorious issues to raise on appeal and requested the Court conduct an independent review of the record.
4. On October 1, 2021, the Governor signed Assembly Bill 518, which amended Penal Code section 654 to give the trial court discretion to choose the appropriate statutory provision under which to sentence a defendant where the defendant was convicted of multiple offenses based on a single act or course of conduct.
5. Under the version of section 654 in effect at the time of appellant’s sentencing, the trial court was required to sentence him under the provision that provided for the longest potential term of imprisonment. The trial court was thus required to sentence appellant under the provision for mayhem rather than assault with a deadly weapon. (See Pen. Code, §§ 204, 245, subd. (a)(1).)
6. Based on my review of the record, I believe that the amendments to section 654 are retroactively applicable to appellant’s case under In re Estrada (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740, and that the matter must be remanded for resentencing to allow the trial court to exercise its newly authorized discretion.
7. Under the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and section 15 of article I of the California Constitution, appellant has rights to due process and effective assistance of counsel on appeal. (See, e.g., *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, 739–745.) It is my professional opinion that the new argument raised in the accompanying opening brief is necessary to afford appellant effective assistance of counsel on appeal.
8. To ensure that appellant’s rights are not violated and that appellate counsel properly raises before this Court all arguable issues, I respectfully request this Court allow appellant to strike or withdraw the *Wende* brief and file an opening brief raising this issue. I am filing the opening brief along with this application.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at [City], [State], on [Date].

/s/[Attorney Name]

[Attorney Name]

1. [**Practice Note:** Under rule 8.412(a),rule 8.200 governs the briefs that may be filed in juvenile delinquency and dependency appeals. Similarly, rules 8.200 and 8.360 apply to briefs filed in LPS conservatorship appeals (rule 8.480(a)) and civil commitment appeals (rule 8.483(a)).] [↑](#footnote-ref-1)